Save SoBo Discussion Points for Council

Show flaws of alternative D and use third party to determine other options at same cost

Helen look:

* Buzz words with floods, non-structural approach, restoration of wetlands, breeching levee
* Success stories of restoration along south boulder creek – what was the cities involvement in sawhill ponds and walden ponds
* Review what was said about studies on 7/11 or 7/13 for voting – Annexation timing
* What did city council agree on from 7/11 meeting about building towards annexation and not seeing this reflected in maps or in transportation

OSMP map of values of ecological value – what did they say about the inside of the bathtub

Map with 500 year flood plane only leaves 80 acres, can’t build on 15% or higher

Public Participation:

Protecting Open Space:

Maximize Ecological Restoration:

Flood Mitigation

We want the city to look at ecologically relevant options. What would happen if we remove the levee and what would this do to flood control? We don’t have the information.

* Review contract for Alternative D – does not specify 500-year flood plain or public participation nor does it specify the other options.

What we want clarified in BVCP? What didn’t get changed? In particular, the transportation analysis section directly contradicts …………